

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,004	01/24/2002	Kenneth J Breslauer	RU-0124	6792
26259	7590 11/17/2003		EXAMINER	
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			TUNG, JOYCE	
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/869,004	BRESLAUER ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit
		Joyce Tung	1637
Period f		nication appears on the cover sl	h t with th correspondence address
THE External after service of the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum is are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimu tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX y will, by statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed Im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>25 August 2003</u> .	
2a)[This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final	I.
3) Disposit	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims		nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 46-49 is/are pending in th	e application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration	on.
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 46-49 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requireme	ent.
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any ob-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
11)	The proposed drawing correction file		
	If approved, corrected drawings are re	•	1.
•	The oath or declaration is objected t	by the Examiner.	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed in Application No
* (national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	
		·	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
_ a	a) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional application	has been received.
Attachmer		- p	
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I	PTO-948) 5) No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) btice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:

Application/Control Number: 09/869,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

Following entry the amendment filed 7/24/2003, claims 46-49 are pending.

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/25/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claims 47-49 recite the limitation "multiple initial nucleic acid duplexes" in claim 47.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

5. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heller (5,532129, issued July 2, 1996).

Heller discloses measuring changes in FET donor or acceptor intensity of a nucleic acid duplex comprising a first nucleic acid strand labeled with a donor of a FET pair and a second nucleic acid strand labeled with an acceptor of the FET pair in the presence of a target nucleic acid (See column 4, lines 18-37). Thus, the teachings of Heller anticipate the limitations of claim 46.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heller (5,532129, issued July 2, 1996) as applied to claim 46, further in view of Chan (6,210896, issued April 3, 2001).

Art Unit: 1637

The teachings of Heller are set forth in section 5 above. Heller additionally discloses the arrangements of multiple donors groups transferring energy to a single or smaller number of acceptor groups (See column 8, lines 46-51).

Heller does not disclose distinguishably labeled initial nucleic acid duplex, and that the changes can be monitored via selective fluorescence excitation with different wavelength, first nucleic acid strands of each nucleic acid duplex are labeled with the same FET donor and the second nucleic acid strands are labeled with different FET acceptor.

Chan disclose a method for linear analysis of polymers (See column 2, lines 24-26). The polymers are nucleic acid sequences (See column 3, lines 27-22) are labeled with a fluorophore (See column 3, lines 33-40 and column 9, lines 63-65). The method is performed on a plurality of polymers simultaneously (See column 4, lines 8-9). Different labels can be used to label different polymer (See column 5, lines 50-53). A plurality of molecular motors is tethered to the support in an organized array (See column 3, lines 42-44). The molecular motors can be DNA polymerase or peptides (See column 3, lines 51-55). The DNA polymerase is labeled with several fluorescent molecules e.g. donor fluorophore and the nucleic acid is labeled with an acceptor fluorophore (See column 9, lines 16-21). As the acceptor fluorophore moves past the donor fluorophore, fluorescence resonance energy transfer occurs (See column 9, lines 21-23). Or the nucleic acid is labeled with donor fluorescence as opposed to the acceptor (See column 12, lines 14-25). The sample may be labeled with different wavelength fluorophore. Each of the fluorophores can be detected separately to provide distinct readings from the same sample (See column 24, lines 19-33).

Application/Control Number: 09/869,004

Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention would have been motivated to modify the method of Heller by using either donor fluorophore or acceptor fluorophore at different wavelength for screening nucleic acid duplex stability. The motivation is that different wavelength can be detected to provide information about different labels (See column 24, lines 32-33) in which the structural information about the polymer is determined based upon the linear analysis on the polymer (See column 6, lines 42-44) and multiple samples could be analysed simultaneously with different flurophores in which more accurate and rapid information is provided (See column 24, 19-24). Although in the method of Chan, the duplex is formed by polymerase and nucleic acid, the polymerase is labeled with either donor or acceptor fluorophore and nucleic acid is labeled with either donor or acceptor fluorophore, the concept of that either multiple donor fluorophore or acceptor fluorophore detected at different wavelength was disclosed. Thus, it would have been prima facie to apply different wavelength labels on the first strand of nucleic acid or the second strand of nucleic acid as either donor labels or acceptor labels with different wavelength of detection for screening the nucleic acid duplex stability.

Summary

8. No claims are allowable.

Art Unit: 1637

9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

November 10, 2003

ETHAN WHISENAN I PRIMARY EXAMINER